

Concussion Prevention in Youth Ice Hockey

Concussion in Sport Symposium Sport Information Resource Centre Paul Eliason, PhD January 31, 2024







Concussion Rates in Ice Hockey: Professional vs. Youth

National Hockey League:

1.8/1000 player hours

Benson et al (2011)

U13 Body Checking League:

1.47/1000 player hours

Emery et al (2010)





Public Health Concern!

Body Checking Policy in Under-13 (ages 11-12)

1000 player game-hours

per

Injuries

ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTION

JAMA Emery et al 2010

Risk of Injury Associated With Body Checking Among Youth Ice Hockey Players

Carolyn A. Emery, PhD, BScPT Jian Kang, PhD Ian Shrier, MD, PhD Claude Coulet, PhD Brent E. Hagel, PhD Brian W. Benson, MD, PhD Alberto Nettel-Aguirre, PhD Jenelle R. McAllister, MSc Gavin M. Hamilton, MSc

CE HOCKEY IS A POPULAR NORTH American winter sport, with more than 550 000 registered youth players in Hockey Canada and more than 340 000 registered players in the USA Hockey Association in 2008-2009.1,2 Despite the advantages of sport participation, there is increasing concern regarding the frequency of ice hockey injuries in youth. Canadian data suggest that hockey injuries account for 10% of all youth sport injuries.34 Body checking has been associated with 45% to 86% of injuries among youth ice hockey players.58 Recently, attention has been focused on the increased frequency of concussive head injuries in youth hockey.9 Concussion has been

Context Ice hockey has one of the highest sport participation and injury rates in youth in Canada. Body checking is the predominant mechanism of injury in leagues in which it is permitted.

Objective To determine if risk of injury and concussion differ for Pee Wee (ages 11-12 years) ice hockey players in a league in which body checking is permitted (Alberta, Canada) vs a league in which body checking is not permitted (Quebec, Canada).

Design, Setting, and Participants Prospective cohort study conducted in Alberta and Quebec during the 2007-2008 Pee Wee ice hockey season. Participants (N=2154) were players from teams in the top 60% of divisions of play.

Main Outcome Measures Incidence rate ratios adjusted for cluster based on Polsson regression for game- and practice-related injury and concussion.

Results Seventy-four Pee Wee teams from Alberta (n=1108 players) and 76 Pee Wee teams from Quebec (n=1046 players) completed the study. In total, there were 241 lnjurles (78 concussions) reported in Alberta (85077 exposure-hours) and 91 injurles (72 concussions) reported in Quebec (82099 exposure-hours). For game-related lnjurles, the Alberta vs Quebec Incidence rate ratio was 3.26 (95% confidence interval [CI], 2.31-4.60 (n=209 and n=70 for Alberta and Quebec, respectively]) for all injurles, 3.88 (95% CI, 1.91-7.89 [n=73 and n=20]) for concussion, 3.30 (95% CI, 1.77-6.17 (n=51 and n=16]) for severe injury (time loss, >7 days), and 3.61 (95% CI, 1.16-11.23 [n=14 and n=4]) for severe injury (time loss, >7 days), and 3.64 (95% CI, 2.18-3.49) for all game-related injurles, 0.72 (95% CI, 0.40-1.04) for severe injurles, 1.08 (95% CI, 0.70-1.46) for concussion, and 0.20 (95% CI, 0.04-0.37) for severe concussion. There was no difference between provinces for practice-related injurles.

Conclusion Among 11- to 12-year-old ice hockey players, playing in a league in which body checking is permitted compared with playing in a league in which body checking is not permitted was associated with a 3-fold increased risk of all gamerelated injurites and the categories of concussion, severe injury, and severe concussion. MAM. 2019;20(2):2265-2272 www.jena.com





Research and Community Engagement

PUBLIC HEALTH INTERVENTION

Informing body checking policy in youth ice hockey in Canada: A discussion meeting with researchers and community stakeholders

Carly D. McKay, PhD,¹ Willem H. Meeuwisse, MD, PhD,^{1,2} Carolyn A. Emery, PT, PhD¹⁻³



Can J Public Health 2014;105(6):e445-e449.

Paul Carson - VP Hockey Development (Hockey Canada)





Research and Community Engagement

PUBLIC HEALTH INTERVENTION

Informing body checking policy in youth ice hockey in Canada: A discussion meeting with researchers and community stakeholders

Carly D. McKay, PhD,¹ Willem H. Meeuwisse, MD, PhD,^{1,2} Carolyn A. Emery, PT, PhD¹⁻³

Can J Public Health 2014;105(6):e445-e449.

Research Informs Body Checking Policy Changes

KEEPING INJURIES Body checking is the single most consistent risk factor for concussion in Youth Ice Hockey. Alberta vs. Ouébec Pee Wee Game Injury Rates All Injury: 3x Concussion: 4x Severe Injury: 3x Severe Concussion: 3x

That's about \$213,000 per year in Direct Public

Hockey Canada votes to ban bodychecking in peewee hockey

Hitting taken out of the game for players under 13

CBC Sports · Posted: May 25, 2013 1:01 PM ET | Last Updated: May 25, 2013



Bob Nicholson is the president and CEO of Hockey Canada, the group that voted to take bodychecking out of peewee-level hockey on Saturday. (File/Canadian Press)



Research and Community Engagement

PUBLIC HEALTH INTERVENTION

Informing body checking policy in youth ice hockey in Canada: A discussion meeting with researchers and community stakeholders

Carly D. McKay, PhD,¹ Willem H. Meeuwisse, MD, PhD,^{1,2} Carolyn A. Emery, PT, PhD¹⁻³

Can J Public Health 2014;105(6):e445-e449.

***** EEPING INJURIES Body checking is the single most consistent risk factor for concussion in Youth Ice Hockey. Alberta vs. Ouébec Pee We All Injury: 3x Concussion: 4x Severe Injury: 3x were Foorussion 3x

That's about **\$213,000** per year in Direct Public Health Care costs *just* for 11-12 year old hockey players in Alberta. Hockey Canada votes to ban bodychecking in peewee hockey

f) 🍠 🍯 (in) 🛛

Hitting taken out of the game for players under 13

CBC Sports · Posted: May 25, 2013 1:01 PM ET | Last Updated: May 25, 2013



Research Informs Body Checking Policy Changes

Hockey Edmonton bans body checking at many levels of Bantam and Midget hockey

By Emily Mertz • Global News Posted April 20, 2016 12:29 pm • Updated April 21, 2016 12:27 am

British Columbia

CANADA

Campaign to ban bodychecking in bantam hockey divides parents

Saskatoon, Regina hockey associations ban body checking in Midget, Bantam B levels

(f) 🔰 🔤 🍯 (in)

Bob Nicholson is the president and CEO of Hockey Canada, the group that voted to take body Body checking will remain in the more competitive levels of play peewee-level hockey on Saturday. (File/Canadian Press)

Cory Coleman · CBC News · Posted: May 15, 2019 9:35 AM CT | Last Updated: May 15, 2019

Evaluation of BC Policy Changes

Concussion rates decreased by:

BJSM Black et al. 2016

- Under-13 (ages 11-12) **→** 64% reduction
 - IRR= 0.36 (95% CI: 0.22-0.58)



BJSM Emery et al. 2019

- Under-15 (ages 13-14) → 40% reduction
 - IRR=0.60 (95% CI: 0.31-1.18)

BJSM Emery et al. 2022

- Under-18 (ages 15-17) **→ 51% reduction**
 - IRR=0.49 (95% CI: 0.26-0.89)







Unintended Consequences of BC Policy Changes



IRRs based on mul=ple mul=level Poisson regression;

Offset for exposure hours and adjusted for covariates (year of play, level of play, player weight, previous injury/concussion, and posi9on), and random effects at a team level.

"Zero Tolerance for Head Contact"



Rule 6.5: Penalizes **any** player head contact either intentional or unintentional

Aimed to reduce the risk of concussion in Canadian youth ice hockey





"Zero Tolerance for Head Contact" Concussion Rates





Reasons for Rate? Media attention Greater reporting Referral bias Not evidence-informed

000

"Zero Tolerance for Head Contact" HEAD CONTACT **Head Contacts** AJSM Williamson et al., 2021 RULE PLAY SAFE, Adjusted Rates of Head Contacts Per Team Game in Bantam PLAY SMART Elite Hockey We all have a IRR=0.94 responsibility lets work together (95%CI: 0.76-1.15) Before HC to keep hockey safe Policy Change 20**Rate Per 100 Team Minutes** • After HC Policy IRR=1.05 **Proportion of Penalized Head Contacts in Bantam** Change (95%CI: 0.86-1.28) 16 Elite Hockey IRR=0.74 12 (95%CI: 0.50-1.11) Before HC 12.7% Policy Change 8 After HC 13.8% Policy Change 4 0.4 0.8 0.2 0.6 Proportion of HC Penalized 0 HC1 HC2 HC Total Head Contact Variables -HC = Head Contact -Rates with 95% Confidence Interval -IRR = Incidence Rate Ratios (2013-14/2008-09)

HC incidence and enforcement did not differ with policy implementa2on

Sport Injury Prevention Research Centre



Referee Assessment of HC Penalties

CJSM Williamson et al., 2023

Table 3: Concurrent Validity and Inter-Rater Reliability based on the HC videos.

	Responses (n)	Validity Inter-Rater Reliat		er Reliability
Video Categories		Median Score vs Gold Standard % (IQR)	Percent Agreement % (95%CI)	Fleiss Kappa (95%Cl)
Penalty (Yes/No)				
Gold Standard	1466	85.1 (51.7-96.2)	75.8 (66.0-85.5)	0.366 (0.162-0.570)
Penalty Type Gold Standard	1087	81.5 (62.7-95.6)	70.3 (58.0-82.5)	0.213 (0.019-0.407)
Penalty Intensity				
Gold Standard	1087	53.7 (47.2-64.5)	52.7 (45.6-59.8)	0.170 (0.061-0.278)
Notes. Comparison	including 16 v	ideos in which the a	old standard asses	sed a HC penalty.

Notes. Comparison including 16 videos in which the gold standard assessed a HC pena Median score of 100 participant referees.





In-game factors may be a primary limita2on for referee HC enforcement





Equipment: Mouth Guard Use





OR=0.36 (95% CI 0.17 - 0.73) 64% Iower odds of concussion BISM Chisholm et al

Off the shelf mouthguards: OR=0.31 (95% CI: 0.14 - 0.65) 469% 2019 DenEst Custom fit mouthguards: OR=0.51 (95% CI: 0.22 - 1.10) 49%

IRR=0.66 (95% CI 0.51-0.86) **34%** lower rate of concussion Off the shelf mouthguards: IRR=0.61 (95% CI: 0.46-0.81) **39%** DenEst Custom fit mouthguards: OR=0.73 (95% CI: 0.54-0.99) **27%**



NIVERSITY OF CALGARY ACULTY OF KINESIOLOGY port Injury Prevention Research Centre





Helmet Fit

12 comprehensive criteria assessing:

Helmet mobility | Helmet posiUon Chin strap fit | Facemask fit

Self-Reported Questions				
1. How does the helmet fit?	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
2. How comfortable is the helmet?	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
Assessor Observations				
Helmet fits snugly on all sides			Yes	No
Helmet covers the base of the skull			Yes	No
5. Chin strap fastened			Yes	No
6. Chin strap not loose			Yes	No
7. Crown of helmet is 1-2 fingers above eyebr	ows		Yes	No
8. Helmet does not impinge neck movement			Yes	No
9. Helmet does not cover eyes when pressing	down		Yes	No
10. Facemask does not slip when pulled left/ri	ght		Yes	No
11. Facemask does not slip when pulled up/do	own		Yes	No
12. Helmet cannot be removed without undoin	ig chin strap		Yes	No
All snaps and screws in place			Yes	No
14. All padding in place			Yes	No
15. Liner not cut/shaved			Yes	No
Liner not worn/broken/cracked			Yes	No
Shell appears in good condition			Yes	No
Standard sticker is visible*			Yes	No
19. Helmet does not have "cage hang" (loose	facemask straps	s)	Yes	No







Declan Pa)on

Alex Gamble

PaNon D et al 2019; Gamble et al., 2020

		Non-injured P		
		<2 Missing Criteria	>1 Missing Criteria	Total
Concussed	<2 Missing Criteria	20	7	27
(n=54)	>1 Missing Criteria	17	10	27
	Total	37	17	54
	OR	95% CI	P-value	
	2.67	1.04-6.81	0.040	





Neuromuscular Warm-up

IOC Consensus on the Developing Youth Athlete Bergeron et al 2015, Emery et al 2015



30-70% lower injury and lower extremity injury rates with NMT warm-ups in youth sport

Neuromuscular Warm-up

IOC Consensus on the Developing Youth Athlete Bergeron et al 2015, Emery et al 2015



30-70% lower injury and lower extremity injury rates with NMT warm-ups in youth sport

Acknowledgments













OVATION.CA INN CANADA FOUNDATION FONDATION CANADIENNE





The Sport Injury Preven1on Research Centre is one of the Interna1onal Olympic Commi<ee Research Centers for the Preven1on of Injury and Protec1on of Athlete Health.















SNBA

Adapted Hub

GE Healthcare

Calgary

RINGETTE CANADA

CANADA